Women's Employment, Husbands' Economic Self-Interest and Domestic Violence

Deniz Sanin University of South Carolina

NBER Development Economics/BREAD Program Meeting December 1, 2023

Domestic Violence [between partners] (DV)

One in Three Women Experience Violence at Hands of a Partner

Lifetime prevalence of intimate partner violence among women aged 15-49, by region



• Extreme form of gender inequality and a global health problem of epidemic proportions (WHO 2013).

Domestic Violence [between partners] (DV)

One in Three Women Experience Violence at Hands of a Partner

Lifetime prevalence of intimate partner violence among women aged 15-49, by region



- Extreme form of gender inequality and a global health problem of epidemic proportions (WHO 2013).
- Rwanda: 41.5% partnered women reported ever experiencing DV as of 2019 (Rwanda National Institute of Statistics, 2019).

Addressing DV: Providing jobs to women

- Theories on women's income & DV:
 - \downarrow DV: \uparrow in women's outside options, \downarrow financial stress in the household.
 - \bullet \uparrow DV: Husbands' incentives to extract women's resources, male backlash.

Addressing DV: Providing jobs to women

- Theories on women's income & DV:
 - \downarrow DV: \uparrow in women's outside options, \downarrow financial stress in the household.
 - \bullet \uparrow DV: Husbands' incentives to extract women's resources, male backlash.

• Existing evidence:

- The effects of **unearned income** (cash transfers, dowry). Angelucci 2008, Bobonis et al. 2013, Hidrobo et al. 2016, Haushofer et al. 2019, Bloch and Rao 2002, Calvi and Keskar 2021.
- Study employment mainly as an **income shock**. Aizer 2010, Eswaran and Malhotra (2011), Anderberg et al. 2016, Bhalotra et al. 2021., Erten and Keskin 2021a, b, Kotsadam and Villanger 2022.

Addressing DV: Providing jobs to women

- Theories on women's income & DV:
 - \downarrow DV: \uparrow in women's outside options, \downarrow financial stress in the household.
 - \bullet \uparrow DV: Husbands' incentives to extract women's resources, male backlash.

• Existing evidence:

- The effects of **unearned income** (cash transfers, dowry). Angelucci 2008, Bobonis et al. 2013, Hidrobo et al. 2016, Haushofer et al. 2019, Bloch and Rao 2002, Calvi and Keskar 2021.
- Study employment mainly as an **income shock**. Aizer 2010, Eswaran and Malhotra (2011), Anderberg et al. 2016, Bhalotra et al. 2021., Erten and Keskin 2021a, b, Kotsadam and Villanger 2022.

• Job \neq income:

- Psychosocial benefits, confidence. Hussam, Kelley, Lane and Zahra 2022, 2023, McKelway 2023.
- Exposure reduction. Dugan et al., 1999.
- Earning income using capacity to work, health capital. Becker 1962, 2007; Goldin 2016.
 - DV creates economic costs. Adams-Prassl, Huttunen, Nix and Zhang 2023.
 - \downarrow DV: Husbands' economic self-interest in the wife's work capacity.

Natural Experiment: Government-induced rapid expansion of the coffee mills in Rwanda in the 2000s which affected coffee farmer couples.

Natural Experiment: Government-induced rapid expansion of the coffee mills in Rwanda in the 2000s which affected coffee farmer couples.

Part I: Provide causal evidence on the effect of a mill:

- the wife's transition to paid employment, \uparrow in the husband's earnings, \downarrow DV.
 - Self-reports & universe of monthly hospitalizations for DV.

Natural Experiment: Government-induced rapid expansion of the coffee mills in Rwanda in the 2000s which affected coffee farmer couples.

Part I: Provide causal evidence on the effect of a mill:

- the wife's transition to paid employment, \uparrow in the husband's earnings, \downarrow DV.
 - Self-reports & universe of monthly hospitalizations for DV.

Part II: Uncover the mechanisms:

- \downarrow in DV is plausibly driven by women's employment.
 - \uparrow in the wife's outside options and contribution to household resources.
 - Exposure Reduction.
 - \uparrow in the husband's cost of incapacitating the wife.

This Paper: Key Features for Identification

Coffee Mill: Coffee cherries (Harvest) $\xrightarrow{\text{Processing}}$ High-quality Beans \rightarrow Export



Harvested Coffee Cherries

Coffee Mill: Coffee cherries (Harvest) $\xrightarrow{\text{Processing}}$ High-quality Beans \rightarrow Export

- 1. Spatial Variation.
 - Catchment Area (CA): A mill serves coffee farmers (mostly couples) that reside within its CA, a buffer zone around the mill.
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Cherries will rot if not transported to a mill within a few hours of harvest.



This Paper: Key Features for Identification

- 1. Spatial Variation.
 - Catchment Area (CA): Buffer zone around the mill.
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Cherries will rot if not transported to a mill within a few hours of harvest.

2. Time Variation.

- Both Before & After: Gendered division of labor + Labor-intensive tasks.
- Before: W harvests the cherries with H and processes them as an unpaid family worker at home. H sells low-quality coffee in the local market for a low price.
- After: H sells the cherries to the mill for a high premium. W does some processing tasks at the mill as a wage worker.



This Paper: Identification

- 1. Staggered Diff-in-Diff Design
 - Spatial Variation: Within-Outside of the CA. [Couples]
 - Yearly Time Variation: Before-After a mill's year of opening.
 - Data: Annual self-reported DV and labor market outcomes.

This Paper: Identification

- 1. Staggered Diff-in-Diff Design
 - Spatial Variation: Within-Outside of the CA. [Couples]
 - Yearly Time Variation: Before-After a mill's year of opening.
 - Data: Annual self-reported DV and labor market outcomes.

- 2. Diff-in-Diff Event Study Design \rightarrow Studying the incapacitation cost channel
 - Spatial Variation: Within-Outside of the CA. [Hospitals]
 - Monthly Time Variation: Mills operate during the harvest season, March-July.
 - Event: Beginning of the harvest season, March.
 - \exists months st: Incapacitation cost changes, other channels are fixed/ ruled out w/ data.
 - Data: Monthly hospitalizations for DV.

This Paper: Preview of Results

Part I: Effect of Mill Exposure

- Women in the CAs are 15% more likely to work for cash, 29% less likely to self-report DV in the past 12 months.
- Mill exposure \uparrow earnings for each spouse.
- Hospitals in the CAs are 14% less likely to have a DV patient in a harvest month compared to one month before the harvest season.
 - Seasonality: Right after the harvest season, DV hospitalizations revert to its pre-harvest level.

This Paper: Preview of Results

Part I: Effect of Mill Exposure

- Women in the CAs are 15% more likely to work for cash, 29% less likely to self-report DV in the past 12 months.
- Mill exposure \uparrow earnings for each spouse.
- Hospitals in the CAs are 14% less likely to have a DV patient in a harvest month compared to one month before the harvest season.
 - Seasonality: Right after the harvest season, DV hospitalizations revert to its pre-harvest level.

Part II: Mechanisms

- \bullet \uparrow in women's bargaining power and contribution to household resources.
- Exposure reduction.
- \uparrow in the cost of women's incapacitation.

• 2002: The government adopted the National Coffee Strategy which aimed to shift to mill-processed (high-quality) coffee production to participate in the international specialty coffee market (Boudreaux, 2011).

- 2002: The government adopted the National Coffee Strategy which aimed to shift to mill-processed (high-quality) coffee production to participate in the international specialty coffee market (Boudreaux, 2011).
- Early 2000s: A public-private partnership project helped farmers to establish cooperatives and build mills in their communities.

- 2002: The government adopted the National Coffee Strategy which aimed to shift to mill-processed (high-quality) coffee production to participate in the international specialty coffee market (Boudreaux, 2011).
- Early 2000s: A public-private partnership project helped farmers to establish cooperatives and build mills in their communities.
- After early 2000s: Farmers continue to build mills across the country and a rapid expansion took place.

Context: Rapid Expansion of Coffee Mills



● What predicts mill placement?→ Historical number of coffee trees (1999) and the FAO coffee suitability index.

 Farmers harvest the cherries, clean, dry them and sort out the defectives by hand at home → sell the low-quality product in the local market for a low price.

- Farmers harvest the cherries, clean, dry them and sort out the defectives by hand at home → sell the low-quality product in the local market for a low price.
- W harvests the cherries with H. Drying and sorting are **female-dominated tasks** and selling is **male-dominated**.
 - H: Receives coffee income = His personal earnings = f(W's | abor)
 - W: No personal earnings.

- Farmers harvest the cherries, clean, dry them and sort out the defectives by hand at home → sell the low-quality product in the local market for a low price.
- W harvests the cherries with H. Drying and sorting are **female-dominated tasks** and selling is **male-dominated**.
 - H: Receives coffee income = His personal earnings = f(W's labor)
 - W: No personal earnings.

A mill (in the CAs):

- Enables farmers to sell the cherries for a **high premium** on the international coffee market (via selling to the mill).
 - H: Earnings \uparrow , value of W's work capacity in harvesting \uparrow

- Farmers harvest the cherries, clean, dry them and sort out the defectives by hand at home → sell the low-quality product in the local market for a low price.
- W harvests the cherries with H. Drying and sorting are **female-dominated tasks** and selling is **male-dominated**.
 - H: Receives coffee income = His personal earnings = f(W's labor)
 - W: No personal earnings.

A mill (in the CAs):

- Enables farmers to sell the cherries for a **high premium** on the international coffee market (via selling to the mill).
 - H: Earnings \uparrow , value of W's work capacity in harvesting \uparrow
- Demands paid labor for the sorting tasks that its machinery cannot do.
 - W: Unpaid family worker \rightarrow wage worker in the mill.
 - H: W shares her personal earnings with H.

Coffee Sorting in a Mill Transportation Harvesting



Data

- Data on mills: Rwanda GeoData, Macchievello and Morjaria (2020)
 - · Geocoded, universe of mills with information on year of operation.
 - Complement with:
 - Historical number of coffee trees using 1999 Coffee Census.
 - FAO-GAEZ coffee suitability index.
- Demographic Health Survey (DHS) 2004/5, '10/11, '13/'14, '19
 - Geocoded, nationally representative, repeated cross-section.
 - Working, working for cash, experiencing DV in the past 12 months.
 - Occupation, household decisions.
- Integrated HH Living Conditions Survey (EICV) 2005/6, '11, '13/'14, '16/17
 - Individual earnings of couples.
 - Household monthly consumption and agricultural production (crops).
 - Not geocoded, log mills per capita at the district level.
- Hospital Management Information System Data (HMIS), 2012-2019
 - Geocoded, monthly panel data of hospitals.
 - Universe of hospitalizations due to gender based violence, ${\tt age}{>}18 \rightarrow {\sf DV}.$
 - Placebo Outcome: Universe of monthly non-DV hospitalizations.

$$Y_{ist} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \textit{Mill}_{ist} + \mathbf{X}_{ist}\phi + \lambda_c + \omega_m + \alpha_s + \gamma_{dt} + (\mathbf{X}_s \times t)\theta + \epsilon_{ist}$$

- Y_{ist}: Binary var coded as 1 if woman *i*, in sector *s*, year *t*
 - Worked,
 - Worked for cash,
 - Experienced DV in the past 12 months.
- *Mill_{ist}*: Binary var coded as 1 if woman *i*, in sector *s* and in year *t* resides within the CA and 0 otherwise.

 $Y_{ist} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \textit{Mill}_{ist} + \mathbf{X}_{ist}\phi + \lambda_c + \omega_m + \alpha_s + \gamma_{dt} + (\mathbf{X}_s \times t)\theta + \epsilon_{ist}$

- Y_{ist}: Binary var coded as 1 if woman *i*, in sector *s*, year *t*
 - Worked,
 - · Worked for cash,
 - Experienced DV in the past 12 months.
- *Mill_{ist}*: Binary var coded as 1 if woman *i*, in sector *s* and in year *t* resides within the CA and 0 otherwise.
- X_{it} : Individual controls of the woman and her partner.
- λ_c , ω_m , α_s , γ_{dt} : Cohort FE, Year of Marriage FE, Sector FE, District-by-yr FE.
- (X_s × t): (Historical number of coffee trees, FAO-GAEZ coffee suitability index) × linear time-trends.

Measuring Mill Exposure: DHS Clusters



- 1. Within District Approach [Left]
 - Treatment: CA 4 km buffer zone around a mill.
 - Control: Outside of the CA within the district. (\approx 800 km²)
- 2. Donut Approach [Right]
 - Control: Donut area between 4 and 8 km from a mill.

	Within District			Donut		
	(1) Work	(2) Cash Work	(3) Domestic Violence	(4) Work	(5) Cash Work	(6) Domestic Violence
Mill	-0.00	0.07***	-0.10***	-0.00	0.06***	-0.07*
	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.04)
Observations	10154	9068	3609	5409	4853	1830
Dependent variable mean	0.88	0.39	0.35	0.88	0.44	0.38

- Working \leftrightarrow
- Working for cash \uparrow
- $DV\downarrow$ in the past 12 months.

Appendix DHS 📜 No change in occupations

	Within District		Donut	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Work	Cash Work	Work	Cash Work
Mill	-0.00	0.03	-0.01	0.04
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.04)
Observations	4342	3790	2317	2110
Dependent variable mean	0.87	0.81	0.91	0.82

- Working \leftrightarrow
- Working for cash \leftrightarrow in the past 12 months.

No sorting in agri occupations

	All Sample Log of Last Daily Earnings		Occupation: Agriculture Log of Last Daily Earnings	
	(1) Wife	(2) Husband	(3) Wife	(4) Husband
Log of Mills per capita in the District	0.94***	1.72***	1.12***	1.71***
	(0.15)	(0.15)	(0.15)	(0.17)
Observations Dependent variable mean	4948 6.60	10055 7.02	4192 6.39	7237 6.68

- Individual last daily earnings both for W and H \uparrow .
- Results are robust to IHS transformation. •



$$Y_{hdtm} = \beta_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{12} \textit{Mill}_{hd} \times \beta_m \mathbb{1}[\tau = m] + \mathbf{X}_{ht}\phi + \lambda_h + \alpha_d + \sigma_m + \gamma_{pt} + (\mathbf{X}_{d} \times t)\theta + \epsilon_{hdtm}$$

- *Y*_{hdtm}: Binary variable coded as 1 if **hospital** *h*, in district *d*, **month** *m* and year *t* has a DV patient, and 0 otherwise.
- *Mill_{hd}*: Binary variable coded as 1 if hospital *h* in district *d* is located within the CA and 0 otherwise.

$$Y_{hdtm} = \beta_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{12} \textit{Mill}_{hd} \times \beta_m \mathbb{1}[\tau = m] + \mathbf{X}_{ht}\phi + \lambda_h + \alpha_d + \sigma_m + \gamma_{pt} + (\mathbf{X}_{d} \times t)\theta + \epsilon_{hdtm}$$

- *Y*_{hdtm}: Binary variable coded as 1 if **hospital** *h*, in district *d*, **month** *m* and year *t* has a DV patient, and 0 otherwise.
- *Mill_{hd}*: Binary variable coded as 1 if hospital *h* in district *d* is located within the CA and 0 otherwise.
- X_{ht} : Time varying hospital controls.
- λ_h , α_d , σ_m , γ_{ht} : Hospital FE, District FE, Month FE, Prov-by-year FE.
- (X_d × t): (Historical number of coffee trees, FAO-GAEZ coffee suitability index) × linear time-trends.

Women's Monthly Hospitalizations for DV



- Compared to one month before the beginning of the harvest season:
 - 1. Hospitals in the CAs are less likely to have a DV patient during the peak.
 - 2. No change in the post-harvest months.
- Placebo: No change in non-DV hospitalizations within the year. Placebo with non
Women's Monthly Hospitalizations for DV (Means)



• Post-harvest levels of hospitalizations in the CAs are still lower compared to the cases from the hospitals outside of the CAs.

- Increase in women's outside options, thus, bargaining power
- Exposure reduction
- Increase in the household earnings
 - Due to women's earnings
- Increase in the cost of women's incapacitation

	W	Within District			Donut		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	Large HH	Own	Family	Large HH	Own	Family	
	Purchases	Health	Visit	Purchases	Health	Visit	
Mill	0.05**	0.03	0.02	0.04*	0.01	-0.00	
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	
Observations	10154	10154	10154	5409	5409	5409	
Dependent variable mean	0.69	0.74	0.82	0.71	0.75	0.82	

- Making decisions on large HH purchases alone or jointly with the husband \uparrow
 - Relative to the husband/a family member is making the decision for her.
- Similar results for being the decision maker for using contraception. Contraception

- Increase in women's outside options \checkmark
- Exposure Reduction
- Increase in household earnings
 - Due to women's earnings
- Increase in the cost of women's incapacitation

		Within District				
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
	Work	Cash Work	Domestic Violence			
Mill	-0.00	0.06*	-0.10*			
	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.06)			
Observations	4804	3614	1579			
Dependent variable mean	0.73	0.30	0.34			

- Use couples with plausibly no change in exposure before-after a mill.
 - Wife in agriculture Husband in a non-agricultural manual job.
 - Already not seeing each other during work hours before a mill.
 - Mill: Shock to W's earnings, W do not report a higher number of hours spent at work.
- \downarrow in DV even among couples with no change in exposure.

- Increase in women's outside options \checkmark
- Exposure Reduction
- Increase in household earnings
 - Due to women's earnings
- Increase in the cost of women's incapacitation

Mechanisms: Increase in the Household Earnings

	Couples with Diff	erent Occupations
	(1) Woman's Log of Last Daily Earnings: Agriculture	(2) Husband's Log of Last Daily Earnings: Non-Agriculture
Log of Mills per apita in the District	2.78*** (0.68)	-0.42 (0.91)
Observations Dependent variable mean	2291 5.08	1089 7.51

- Wife in agriculture Husband in a non-agricultural manual job.
 - \bullet \uparrow in the wife's earnings, no change in the husband's earnings.
- DV↓ even among couples where an ↑ in HH resources is only via women's paid employment.
 - \bullet \uparrow in the wife's contribution to HH earnings is a plausible mechanism.
 - Extractive violence is not the dominant mechanism.

- Increase in women's outside options \checkmark
- Exposure Reduction
- Increase in household earnings \checkmark
 - Due to women's earnings
- Increase in the cost of women's incapacitation

Mechanisms: Increase in the Cost of Women's Incapacitation



- 1. When incapacitation cost \downarrow in August, DV reverts to its pre-harvest level.
- 2. Income effect for hospitalizations: During August, no change in DV & higher consumption relative to pre-harvest.
- 3. Keeping women's outside options fixed: Plausibly, the wife's outside option in the CA is similar in Jul-Aug.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Seasonality of the cost of women's incapacitation supports seasonality of DV hospitalizations.

Mechanisms: Increase in the Cost of Women's Incapacitation

 Irish Potato Regions: When the value of the wife's work capacity is fixed within the year → No change in DV hospitalizations within the year.



- $\star\,$ No major \uparrow in the value of women's work capacity for their unpaid tasks within the year.
- * Women mostly do not engage in paid employment.

- \bullet Increase in women's outside options, thus, bargaining power. \checkmark
- Exposure Reduction
- Increase in household earnings \checkmark
 - Due to women's earnings
 - Extractive violence
- Increase in the cost of women's incapacitation \checkmark
 - Income effect for DV hospitalizations

External Validity

- Do the results indicate that providing jobs to women \downarrow DV in every context?
 - Unfortunately, NO.
 - \rightarrow Kotsadam and Villanger (2022): No effects of providing factory jobs to women on physical DV in Ethiopia in an RCT.

External Validity

- Do the results indicate that providing jobs to women \downarrow DV in every context?
 - Unfortunately, NO.
 - \rightarrow Kotsadam and Villanger (2022): No effects of providing factory jobs to women on physical DV in Ethiopia in an RCT.
- **Theoretical Framework:** Providing jobs to women \downarrow DV when:
 - 1. The woman's threat of divorce is credible based on laws, social norms.
 - 2. The husband benefits from women's work capacity.

• Conditions hold for Rwanda:

- DV laws: Women use their right to divorce their husbands unilaterally if their husbands engage in DV (Sanin, 2021).
- 1b. Divorce rates are higher compared to Ethiopia.
- 2a. Couples work together: Employer-Employee relationship.
- 2b. The wife shares her earnings with the husband: Higher share of women decide jointly with their husbands on how to use their earnings compared to Ethiopia.

- Pre-trends
 - Exploit the number of years individuals are exposed to a mill opening using an event study. Event Study
- Placebo Test
 - Treatment: Women in areas that do not have a mill yet. Placebo
- Recent econometrics literature on DID and event studies
 - Goodman-Bacon (2021) decomposition, test proposed in de Chaisemartin and D'Haultfœuille (2020).
 - Results are robust to using estimators proposed in de Chaisemartin and D'Haultfœuille (2020) and Sun and Abraham (2020).
- Measuring mill exposure
 - Different CA sizes: 5 and 10 km Different CA
 - Within CA: 2 km buffer vs. the donut between 2 and 4 km. Within CA
 - Nov 29: Catchment area of the hospitals from the Ministry of Health.

Conclusion

- Using the expansion of coffee mills in Rwanda as a natural experiment + novel monthly DV hospitalizations, present evidence which suggests that:
 - Providing employment opportunities to women ↓ DV when the husband has economic self-interest in the wife's work capacity.
 - $\bullet~\uparrow$ in women's outside options and contribution to HH resources also operate as mechanisms.
- Shed light on **how** phenomena that affect female employment in developing countries may affect DV.
 - Policy implication: Jobs vs. cash transfer to women. Complements Hussam, Kelley, Lane and Zahra (2022).
 - Implication for the other side of the coin: Shocks that may lead to women's unemployment may worsen DV.
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Climate change-induced weather shocks, automating agriculture.

Thank you!



Appendix



Assumption:

- A mill opening at a specific location in a given year is uncorrelated with other determinants of changes in
 - women's paid employment
 - DV.
- What predicts a mill opening?

Sector Level Baseline Characteristics that Predict Mill Opening

	(1) First Mill in 2005-10	(2) Mill by 2018
Log Coffee Trees in 1999	0.03***	0.04***
	(0.01)	(0.01)
FAO-GAEZ Coffee Suitability Index	0.07*	0.03
	(0.04)	(0.04)
Log Population in 2002	-0.10	-0.14
0	(0.49)	(0.49)
Log Female Population in 2002	0.07	0.20
	(0.49)	(0.49)
Share of Self-Employed Women in 2002	0.27	0.17
Share of Sen-Employee wollieli ili 2002	(0.55)	(0.54)
Share (Hard) Wester Wester in 2002	0.00	0.44
Share of Unpaid Worker Women in 2002	(0.58)	(0.58)
	. ,	. ,
Share of Primary-Educated Women in 2002	1.45	1.38
	(1.09)	(1.08)
Share of Primary-Educated Men in 2002	-0.14	-0.40
	(1.20)	(1.19)
Number of daughters per Woman in 2002	-0.22	-0.13
	(0.22)	(0.22)
Share of Women in a Consensual Union in 2002	-1.20	-0.57
	(0.78)	(0.77)
Share of Women in a Polygamous Marriage in 2002	0.17	-1.80
Share of Women in a Polyganious Maringe in 2002	(1.94)	(1.94)
Share of Women without Assets in 2002	0.32	0.02
Share of women without Assets in 2002	(0.57)	(0.57)
	. ,	,
Genocide Intensity Index at the Commune Level	-0.02	-0.04
	(0.04)	(0.03)
District FE	√	1
Number of Observations	348	348
Dependent variable mean	0.26	0.39
Adjusted R ²	0.24	0.39

Notes: FAO-GAEZ coffee suitability and genocide intensity index are both standardized. The data is at the sector level. *** p<.01, ** p<.05, * p<.1

	All		Not Exposed to a mill		Exposed to a mill	
	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev
Panel A: Main dependent variables						
Worked in the past 12 months	0.88	0.33	0.88	0.33	0.88	0.32
Worked for cash in the past 12 months	0.39	0.49	0.36	0.48	0.45	0.50
Experienced domestic violence in the past 12 months	0.34	0.47	0.34	0.47	0.37	0.48
Panel B: Controls						
Husband lives in the house	0.88	0.32	0.88	0.32	0.87	0.33
Husband's age	42.64	9.79	42.36	9.81	43.47	9.67
Husband's Occupation: Agricultural	0.70	0.46	0.69	0.46	0.71	0.45
Husband's education in years	4.34	3.75	4.34	3.80	4.34	3.59
Occupation: Agricultural	0.75	0.43	0.74	0.44	0.77	0.42
Marital status: Married	0.74	0.44	0.71	0.45	0.80	0.40
Monogamy (No other wives)	0.89	0.31	0.88	0.32	0.92	0.27
Number of unions: One	0.84	0.37	0.84	0.37	0.85	0.36
Age at first marriage	19.85	3.31	19.73	3.28	20.19	3.38
Years since marriage	16.72	6.45	16.56	6.50	17.21	6.27
Education in years	4.00	3.53	3.90	3.57	4.29	3.40
Muslim	0.02	0.13	0.02	0.14	0.01	0.11
Christian	0.96	0.19	0.96	0.19	0.97	0.17
Has children aged 5 and under	0.75	0.43	0.76	0.43	0.72	0.45
Type of residence: Rural	0.84	0.37	0.83	0.38	0.86	0.35
Household has a radio	0.62	0.49	0.61	0.49	0.63	0.48
Household's main floor material is cement	0.17	0.38	0.17	0.38	0.17	0.38
Household has electricity	0.14	0.34	0.13	0.34	0.15	0.36
Household wealth is above the median	0.51	0.50	0.51	0.50	0.51	0.50
Observations	12300		9209		3091	

Table A2: Summary Statistics for Women: DHS Women's Recode

Notes: Sample consists of partnered women who married before the expansion of the mills. "Exposed to the mill" represents being in the catchment area of a mill. Catchment area radius is 4 km.

Summary Stats: DHS - Baseline

		r Treated or Level		Treatment or Level
	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Panel A: Main dependent variables				
Worked in the past 12 months	0.83	0.37	0.84	0.36
Worked for cash in the past 12 months	0.18	0.39	0.19	0.40
Experienced domestic violence in the past 12 months	0.22	0.42	0.22	0.41
Panel B: Controls				
Husband lives in the house	0.89	0.31	0.86	0.34
Husband's age	41.03	9.67	41.91	9.55
Husband's Occupation: Agricultural	0.71	0.45	0.82	0.38
Husband's education in years	4.25	3.90	3.66	3.32
Occupation: Agricultural	0.72	0.45	0.79	0.41
Marital status: Married	0.61	0.49	0.70	0.46
Monogamy (No other wives)	0.86	0.34	0.88	0.33
Number of unions: One	0.83	0.37	0.83	0.38
Age at first marriage	19.65	3.36	19.92	3.28
Years since marriage	14.99	6.83	15.53	7.06
Education in years	3.58	3.61	3.58	3.24
Muslim	0.02	0.15	0.02	0.13
Christian	0.96	0.21	0.97	0.17
Has children aged 5 and under	0.85	0.36	0.82	0.38
Type of residence: Rural	0.80	0.40	0.87	0.34
Household has a radio	0.53	0.50	0.54	0.50
Household's main floor material is cement	0.15	0.35	0.10	0.31
Household has electricity	0.07	0.25	0.03	0.16
Household wealth is above the median	0.51	0.50	0.46	0.50
Observations	2348		1123	

Table A3: Summary Statistics for Women based on Treatment Status: 2005 DHS Women's Recode (Before Rapid Expansion/Baseline)

Notes: Sample consists of partnered women who married before the expansion of the mills. "Treatment" represents a mill opening. Since a mill serves only to its catchment area, after treatment is at the catchment area level rather than the sector level. Catchment area radius is 4 km.

	Husba	nd			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Occupation:	Education	Occupation:	Education	Civil
	Agricultural	in Years	Agricultural	in Years	Marriage
Mill	0.00	0.03	-0.00	0.01	-0.01
	(0.02)	(0.14)	(0.02)	(0.13)	(0.02)
Observations Dependent variable mean	10413 0.69 Wome			10413 10413 0.74 4.03 Household	
	(1) Age at: First Marriage	(2) Religion: Christian	(3) Residence: Rural	(4) Cement Floor	(5) Electricity
Mill	0.02	-0.00	0.03	0.01	0.02
	(0.05)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Observations	10413	10413	10413	10413	10413
Dependent variable mean	19.89	0.97	0.83	0.18	0.14

Table A11: Balance Check: Within District Approach

Notes: Robust standard errors clustered at the sector level are in parentheses. 4 km catchment area is used for the treatment group. Within district approach is used for the control group. The estimates are based on DHS data and estimated with the main specification presented in Section 5.2.1 **p > 0.1, **p > 0.5, *p > 0.5

	Husba	nd			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	Occupation:	Education	Occupation:	Education	Civil
	Agricultural	in Years	Agricultural	in Years	Marriage
Mill	-0.01	-0.08	0.01	-0.07	-0.02
	(0.02)	(0.15)	(0.02)	(0.16)	(0.02)
Observations Dependent variable mean	5283 0.68 Wome	5283 4.47 en	5283 5283 0.74 4.40 Household		5283 0.79
	(1) Age at: First Marriage	(2) Religion: Christian	(3) Residence: Rural	(4) Cement Floor	(5) Electricity
Mill	0.00	-0.00	0.04	0.01	0.02
	(0.06)	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Observations	5283	5283	5283	5283	5283
Dependent variable mean	20.16	0.96	0.81	0.21	0.17

Table A12: Balance Check: Donut Approach

Notes: Robust standard errors clustered at the sector level are in parentheses. 4 km catchment area is used for the treatment group. Donut approach is used for the control group. The estimates are based on DHS data and estimated with the main specification presented in Section 5.2.1 *** p < 0.1, ** p < 0.1, ** p < 0.1, ** p < 0.1, ***

Summary Stats: HMIS Results HMIS



	(1) Managers	(2) Sales	(3) Agricultural Self-Employed	(4) Agricultural Employee	(5) Manual Skilled & Unskilled
Mill	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	0.01	0.01
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.02)
Observations	4962	4962	4962	4962	4962
Dependent variable mean	0.03	0.07	0.75	0.07	0.05

• No change in occupations.

	(1) Managers	(2) Sales	(3) Agricultural Self-Employed	(4) Agricultural Employee	(5) Manual Skilled & Unskilled
Mill	0.01	0.03*	-0.04	0.00	0.01
	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)
Observations	2107	2107	2107	2107	2107
Dependent variable mean	0.05	0.06	0.62	0.07	0.17

• No sorting in agricultural occupations.

$$Y_{idt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Mill_{idt} + \mathbf{X}_{it}\phi + \lambda_c + \alpha_d + \gamma_{dt} + (\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{d}} \times t)\theta + \epsilon_{idt}.$$
 (1)

- Y_{idt}: Log earnings of woman/husband *i*, in district *d* and year *t*.
- *Mill_{idt}*: Log of the total number of mills per capita in the district of residence of a woman/husband *i* at year *t*.
- $\bullet~X_{it}$: Individual controls of the woman and her partner.
- λ_c , ω_m , α_d , γ_{dt} : Cohort FE, District FE, District-by-year FE.
- (X_d × t): (Historical number of coffee trees, FAO-GAEZ coffee suitability index) × linear time-trends.

	IHS of Wor	nen's Last Daily Earnings	
	(1) All Sample	(2) Occupation: Agriculture	
Log of Mills per capita in the District	3.55***	3.77***	
	(0.24)	(0.26)	
Observations Dependent variable mean	18176 6.60	17375 6.39	

Placebo Test: Women's Monthly Hospitalizations for non-DV



• No change within the year.



		Within District			Donut		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	Joint	Wife	Husband	Joint	Wife	Husband	
Mill	0.06*	-0.04	-0.02	0.06*	-0.03	-0.03	
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)	
Observations	2638	2638	2638	1506	1506	1506	
Dependent variable mean	0.87	0.10	0.04	0.88	0.09	0.03	

• Making decisions on using contraception jointly with the husband \uparrow

Event Study Robustness





Robustness

		Within District			Donut			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
	Work	Cash	Violence	Work	Cash	Violence		
Mill	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.05		
	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.01)	(0.04)	(0.06)		
Observations	7314	6455	2651	3533	3534	1494		
Dependent variable mean	0.87	0.56	0.34	0.98	0.55	0.35		

- Treatment: Women in areas that will receive a mill and become CAs in the upcoming years.
- Control: Outside of the future CAs.
- Falsely assuming that the treatment group is exposed to a mill.
- Outcome variables are balanced across the groups before a mill.

Sun and Abraham (2020) Robustness





de Chaisemartin and D'Haultfœuille (2020) Robustness





		4 km			5 km			10 km	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	Work	Cash	Violence	Work	Cash	Violence	Work	Cash	Violence
Mill	-0.00	0.06***	-0.09***	-0.01	0.04*	-0.06*	-0.01	-0.02	0.01
	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.04)
Observations	10471	9321	3692	10471	9321	3692	10471	9321	3692
Dependent variable mean	0.87	0.58	0.35	0.87	0.58	0.35	0.87	0.58	0.35

- Buffer radius ↑ = Couples who reside in the periphery of the 4 km catchment area are now in the treatment group.
- Effects fade out as buffer radius $\uparrow.$

	Within District			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
	Work	Cash Work	Domestic Violence	
Mill	-0.00	0.06*	-0.10*	
	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.06)	
Observations	4804	3614	1579	
Dependent variable mean	0.73	0.30	0.34	

Coffee Sorting in a Mill back



Transportation of harvest within the CA Context







Harvesting Coffee Cherries Context

